



5.8 Faculty Performance Appraisal and Development System (FPADS)

The Faculty Performance Appraisal and Development System (FPADS) at Sri Venkateshwaraa College of Engineering & Technology is designed to evaluate, enhance, and recognize the contributions of faculty members in teaching, research, and professional development. The system ensures continuous improvement, accountability, and career growth while aligning with institutional and accreditation standards.

1. Objectives of FPADS

- Assess faculty performance in teaching, research, and administrative roles.
- Encourage professional growth through training, research, and skill development.
- Enhance teaching effectiveness through innovative pedagogy and student feedback.
- Recognize outstanding contributions in academic and research activities.
- Align faculty goals with institutional vision and mission

2. Key Performance Parameters

Faculty performance is assessed based on the following criteria:

A. Teaching & Learning Performance

- Course delivery, syllabus coverage, and innovative teaching methods.
- Student feedback on teaching effectiveness.
- Use of ICT tools, LMS, flipped classroom, and blended learning techniques.
- Development of course materials, video lectures, and study guides.

B. Research & Publications

- Publications in Scopus, IEEE, Springer, Elsevier, and UGC-listed journals.
- Research grants, funded projects, and patents filed.
- Participation in national and international conferences.
- Collaboration with industry and research institutions.

C. Professional Development & Certifications

- Completion of FDPs, NPTEL, Coursera, AICTE ATAL training.
- Membership in CSI and ISTE professional societies.
- Industry certifications (AWS, Celonis and Palo Alto).
- Leadership roles in organizing technical events, workshops, and FDPs.

D. Student Mentoring & Engagement

- Mentoring students for projects, Hackathons, internships, and startup incubation.
- Guidance for higher education.
- Participation in social initiatives.



E. Administrative & Institutional Contributions

- Contribution to NBA, NAAC, and IQAC documentation.
- Active involvement in committees and departmental activities.

3. Performance Appraisal Process

A. Self-Appraisal by Faculty

- Faculty submit Annual Self-Assessment Reports (SARs) detailing their academic and research contributions.
- Supporting documents for publications, projects, certifications, and student feedback are provided.

B. Peer Review & HoD Evaluation

- The Head of the Department (HoD) evaluate faculty performance based on KPIs.
- Peer review mechanisms ensure transparent and unbiased evaluation.

C. Student Feedback & Evaluation

- Anonymous student feedback surveys are conducted to assess teaching effectiveness.
- Scores are analyzed to provide constructive feedback to faculty.

D. Final Review by Academic Committee

- Final Evaluations will be conducted by Principal and Head of the Department.

4. Faculty Development Programs (FDPs) & Training

- Encouragement for Ph.D. research, post-doctoral studies, and sponsored projects.
- Encouragement for attending FDPs, Workshop, Seminar, Conference, Webinar and Certifications.

The Faculty Performance Appraisal and Development System (FPADS) ensures continuous faculty improvement, excellence in teaching and research contributions. This structured approach fosters a culture of innovation, accountability, and academic leadership within the institution.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 2023

DATE: 12.10.2022

Faculty name:		Mr. SURESH KANNAN . S		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	
Department:		CSE					
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled		Result %	Average	Max	Earned points
		COMPUTER PROGRAMMING (cp) COMPUTER PROGRAMMING (cp)		96 78			
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points
		COMPUTER PROGRAMMING (cp) COMPUTER PROGRAMMING (cp)		5 5	05	10	10
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities			No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points	
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)			5	5	5	
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)			1	5	5	
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities			No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points	
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)			15	10	10	
Total							
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication			5	5	5	
	Contribution towards department and institution development			5	5	5	
OVERALL MARKS					50		

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

S. Sureshkannan
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

R. Suresh
HOD

PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 20 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 2023

DATE: 1.11.2023

Faculty name:		DR. JAYARAMAN K. B		Designation:		PROFESSOR		
Department:		CSE						
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points		
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (SE)	93	95	10	10		
		E-BUSINESS (EB)	98					
	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2point	Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points	
		SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (SE)		5	05	10	10	
E-BUSINESS (EB)		5						
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points			
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5	5			
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5	5			
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points			
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10	10			
Total								
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication		5	5	5			
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5	5			
OVERALL MARKS				50				

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

K. B. Jayaraman
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

P. Buley
HOD

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 2023

DATE: 17.6.2023

Faculty name:		MR. SATHYA MOORTHY H		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR		
Department:		CSE						
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points		
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	COMPUTER PROGRAMMING (CP)	78	88	10	10		
		OBJECT ORIENTED AND DESIGN (OOD)	98					
	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2point	Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points	
		COMPUTER PROGRAMMING (CP)		5	5	10	10	
		OBJECT ORIENTED AND DESIGN (OOD)		5				
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5		5		
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5		5		
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10		10		
		Total						
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication		5	5		5		
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5		5		
		OVERALL MARKS		50				

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

SIGNATURE OF STAFF

HOD

PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 20 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-2023

DATE: 16.11.2023

Faculty name:		MS. THILAGAVATHY - S		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR		
Department:		CSE						
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points		
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	DATA STRUCTURES (DS)	75	80	10	10		
		OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING (OOP)	86					
		AFC	80					
	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2point	Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points	
		DATA STRUCTURES (DS)		4	4	10	10	
		OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING(OOP)		5				
AFC		5						
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5		5		
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5		5		
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10		10		
Total								
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication		5	5		5		
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5		5		
OVERALL MARKS				50				

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

Thilagavathy
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

R. Sulec
HOD

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 20 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-2023

DATE: 25.5.2023

Faculty name:		MRS . CHITHRA . V		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR		
Department:		CSE						
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points		
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	INFORMATION SECURITY (IS)	100	91	10	10		
		GRAPHICS AND IMAGE PROCESSING	98					
		DATA STRUCTURES (DS)	75					
	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2 point	Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points	
		INFORMATION SECURITY (IS)		5	05	10	10	
		GRAPHICS AND IMAGE PROCESSING		5				
DATA STRUCTURES (DS)		5						
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5		5		
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5		5		
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10		10		
Total								
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication		5	5		5		
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5		5		
OVERALL MARKS				50				

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

SIGNATURE OF STAFF

HOD

PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 20 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-2023

DATE: 27.6.2023

Faculty name:		MS. SUJITHA .S		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR		
Department:		CSE						
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points		
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	LANGUAGE TRANSLATORS (IT)	84	88	10	10		
		NETWORK PROTOCOL (NP)	96					
		DAA	85					
	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2point	Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points	
		LANGUAGE TRANSLATORS (IT)		5	05	10	10	
		NETWORK PROTOCOL (NP)		5				
DAA		4						
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5		5		
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5		5		
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10		10		
Total								
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication		5	5		5		
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5		5		
OVERALL MARKS				50				

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

Sujitha
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

R. Princy
HOD

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 20 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-2023

DATE: 7.10.2023

Faculty name:		MIS. NAGAMANY ABIRAMI .D		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	
Department:		CSE					
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points	
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 – 100% - 10 points 60 – 80% - 8 points 40 – 60% - 6 points 20 – 40% - 4 points 0 – 20% - 2 point	COMPUTER NETWORKS (CN)	91	90	10	10	
		FEM	88				
	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 – 10 points 3 to 4 – 8 points 2 to 3 – 6 points 1 to 2 – 4 points 0 to 1 – 2point	COMPUTER NETWORKS (CN)	5	05	10	10	
		FEM	5				
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points	
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes – 5 points)		5	5		5	
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 – 5 points)		1	5		5	
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points	
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10		10	
HOD Rating	Total						
	Hard work & Dedication		5	5		5	
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5		5	
OVERALL MARKS				50			

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	8
TOTAL POINTS		50	46
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

Abirami
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

R. Subee
HOD

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-2023

DATE: 15.7.2023

Faculty name:		Dr. BAJAJI . N		Designation:		PROFESSOR	
Department:		CSE					
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points	
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 – 100% - 10 points 60 – 80% - 8 points 40 – 60% - 6 points 20 – 40% - 4 points 0 – 20% - 2 point	CHGNITS	100	100	10	10	
		ENTERPRISE SOLUTIONS (ERP)	100				
	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 – 10 points 3 to 4 – 8 points 2 to 3 – 6 points 1 to 2 – 4 points 0 to 1 – 2point	Theory subjects handled	Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points	
		CHGNITS	5	05	10	10	
ENTERPRISE SOLUTIONS(ERP)	5						
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points		
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes – 5 points)		5	5	10		
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 – 5 points)		1	5	10		
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points		
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10	10		
Total							
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication		5	5	5		
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5	5		
OVERALL MARKS				50			

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	10
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	50
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

Dr. Bajaji N
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

Dr. Bajaji N
HOD

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 20 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-2023

DATE: 8.8.2023

Faculty name:		MRS. ANDAI . K		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	
Department:		CSE					
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled		Result %	Average	Max	Earned points
		RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point		PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY (PT) MOBILE COMPUTING (MC)	96 100	98	10
Academic Performance	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2point	Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points
		PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY (PT) MOBILE COMPUTING (MC)		5 4	05	10	10
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities			No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points	
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)			5	5	5	
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)			1	5	5	
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities			No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points	
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)			15	10	10	
Total							
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication			5	5	5	
	Contribution towards department and institution development			5	5	5	
OVERALL MARKS					50		

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

SIGNATURE OF STAFF

HOD

PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 2023

DATE: 27.6.2023

Faculty name:		MS. SUMITHA . S		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR		
Department:		CSE						
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points		
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING AND DESIGN	76	80	10	10		
		CDA	80					
		OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING	85					
	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2point	Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points	
		OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING DESIGN		5	05	10	10	
		CDA		5				
OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING		5						
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5		5		
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5		5		
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10		10		
		Total						
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication		5	5		5		
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5		5		
OVERALL MARKS				50				

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	8
3.	Administrative Activities	10	7
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	45
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

SIGNATURE OF STAFF

HOD

PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 2023

DATE: 26.7.2023

Faculty name:		MS.S. VINITHA	Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	
Department:		CSE				
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points
		ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) DATA STRUCTURES (DS) ODP		92 75 85	84	10
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	Theory subjects handled	Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points
		ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) DATA STRUCTURES (DS) ODP		5 4 4	3	10
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5		5
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5		5
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10		10
Total						
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication		5	5		5
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5		5
OVERALL MARKS				50		

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	8
3.	Administrative Activities	10	10
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

S. Vinitha
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

HOD

PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 20 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-2023

DATE: 22-8-2023

Faculty name:		MS. MAHALAKSHMI . S		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	
Department:		CSF					
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points	
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	OPERATING SYSTEM (OS)	89	95	10	10	10
		CLOUD COMPUTING (CC)	100				
		Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points
		FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2point	OPERATING SYSTEM (OS)	4	05	10	10
CLOUD COMPUTING (CC)	5						
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points		
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5	5		
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5	5		
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points	Earned points		
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10	10		
HOD Rating	Total						
	Hard work & Dedication		5	5	5		
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5	5		
OVERALL MARKS					50		

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

Mahalakshmi
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

T. Suleg
HOD

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-2023

DATE: 22.8.2023

Faculty name:		MS KEERTHIGA . G		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	
Department:		CSE					
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points	
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	DATA STRUCTURE (DS)	98	91	10	10	
		OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING	88				
		COMPUTER PROGRAMMING (CP)	88				
		Theory subjects handled	Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points	
Academic Performance	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2 point	DATA STRUCTURE (DS)	4	4	10	10	
		OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING (OOP)	4				
		COMPUTER PROGRAMMING (CP)	4				
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points	
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5		5	
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5		5	
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points	
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10		10	
Total							
HOD Rating	Hard work & Dedication		5	5		5	
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5		5	
OVERALL MARKS				50			

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

Keerthiga
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

P. Sankar
HOD

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 20 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM FOR FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 2023

DATE: 14.12.2023

Faculty name:		MRS. MOSHIKA A		Designation:		ASSISTANT PROFESSOR		
Department:		CSE						
Classification	Points	Theory subjects handled	Result %	Average	Max	Earned points		
Academic Performance	RESULTS 80 - 100% - 10 points 60 - 80% - 8 points 40 - 60% - 6 points 20 - 40% - 4 points 0 - 20% - 2 point	WEB TECHNOLOGY (CMT)	94	94	10	10		
		DATA BASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	94					
	FEEDBACK OF STUDENTS 4 to 5 - 10 points 3 to 4 - 8 points 2 to 3 - 6 points 1 to 2 - 4 points 0 to 1 - 2 point	Theory subjects handled		Feedback points	Average	Max	Earned points	
		WEB TECHNOLOGY (CMT)		5	05	10	10	
		DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM		5				
Research & Development Activities	Research Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Programmes Attended (Workshop, Seminar, FDP, Conference, etc.) (Per year 2 programmes - 5 points)		5	5		5		
	Journal, Book Publication, Patents etc. (Per year 1 - 5 points)		1	5		5		
Administrative Activities	Administrative Activities		No. of Activities	Max Points		Earned points		
	Actively participated in college activities (Seminar, Workshop, Webinar, FPD and all kind of functions)		15	10		10		
HOD Rating	Total							
	Hard work & Dedication		5	5		5		
	Contribution towards department and institution development		5	5		5		
OVERALL MARKS				50				

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL CONSOLIDATED POINTS

S.NO.	CLASSIFICATION	MAX. POINTS	OBTAINED POINTS
1.	Academic Performance	20	20
2.	Research & Development Activities	10	10
3.	Administrative Activities	10	8
4.	HOD Rating	10	10
TOTAL POINTS		50	48
OVERALL PERFORMANCE			

Moshika A
SIGNATURE OF STAFF

P. Suley
HOD

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

NOTE:

The overall performance is based on the following,

- If the total points are 40 and above then the performance is **EXCELLENT**.
- If the total points are 35 and above then the performance is **VERY GOOD**.
- If the total points are 30 and above then the performance is **GOOD**.
- If the total points are 25 and above then the performance is **SATISFACTORY**.
- If the total points are 20 and above then the performance is **TO BE ENHANCED**.